

## **Exact Solutions for Radial Schrödinger Equations**

**G. Vanden Berghe,<sup>1</sup> H. De Meyer,<sup>1,2</sup> and M. Van Daele<sup>1</sup>**

*Received October 14, 1994*

---

An infinite set of exact solutions for the three-dimensional Schrödinger equation with the interactions  $ar^2 + br^4 + cr^6$  and  $r^2 + \lambda r^2/(1 + gr^2)$  is presented. The conditions under which these solutions can occur are given. Some previously published errors are corrected.

---

The determination of exact and numerical solutions to the time-independent three-dimensional Schrödinger equation with spherical potentials has been an object of study for many years. The related radial Schrödinger equation for this problem reads

$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{2} V(r) + \frac{l(l+1)}{2r^2} \right\} \psi_l(r) = E \psi_l(r) \quad (1)$$

In the last 15 years many spherical potentials have been considered; two of them find applications in various branches of physics:

(i) The doubly anharmonic oscillator (also called sextic potential),

$$V(r) = ar^2 + br^4 + cr^6 \quad (2)$$

present in studies for structural phase transitions (Khare and Behra, 1980), polaron formation in solids (Amin, 1976, 1982), and the concept of false vacua in field theory (Coleman, 1988).

<sup>1</sup>Vakgroep Toegepaste Wiskunde en Informatica, Universiteit-Gent, B9000 Gent, Belgium.  
E-mail: Guido.VandenBerghe@rug.ac.be.

<sup>2</sup>Research Director at the National Fund for Scientific Research (N.F.W.O. Belgium).

## (ii) The nonpolynomial oscillator

$$V(r) = r^2 + \frac{\lambda r^2}{1 + gr^2} \quad (3)$$

important for its application to field theory in zero dimensions (Salam and Strathdee, 1976) and quantum optics (Haken, 1965).

In a series of papers exact solutions of the Schrödinger equation for the potential (2) have been constructed (Flessas and Das, 1980; Kaushal, 1989; Bose and Varma, 1990; Singh *et al.*, 1990; Parui *et al.*, 1994; Flessas, 1981, 1982). For the potential (3) the eigenvalues for the one-dimensional case have been studied in detail (Flessas, 1981, 1982; Varma, 1981; Lai and Lin, 1982; Whitehead *et al.*, 1982). The three-dimensional case has not been discussed very much. Only Znojil (1991b) considered the lowest lying exact eigenstate in a much broader context. Roy *et al.* (1988) have shown that the nonpolynomial interaction (3) is of a supersymmetric nature if the coupling constants satisfy certain relations. In this way they also obtain the ground-state energy.

In this paper we revisit the above eigenvalue problems in order to correct some of the misunderstandings reported in results for the potential (2) (Singh *et al.*, 1990; Parui *et al.*, 1994) and to extend the results for potential (3). In first instance we follow the technique of Singh *et al.* (1990) to solve (1) for  $V(r) = ar^2 + br^4 + cr^6$ . We substitute in (1)

$$\psi_l(r) = r^{l+1}\phi(r) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta r^2 - \frac{1}{4}\alpha r^4\right) \quad (4)$$

to obtain a second-order equation for  $\phi(r)$ ,

$$\frac{d^2\phi(r)}{dr^2} - 2\left(\beta r + \alpha r^3 - \frac{l+1}{r}\right)\frac{d\phi(r)}{dr} + [\lambda + \sigma r^2]\phi(r) = 0 \quad (5)$$

with

$$\lambda = 2E - \beta(2l + 3) \quad (6)$$

$$\sigma = \beta^2 - a - \alpha(2l + 5) \quad (7)$$

Singh *et al.* (1990) and also Parui *et al.* (1994) proposed for  $\phi(r)$  a series of the form

$$\phi(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k r^k \quad (8)$$

giving rise, after substitution in (5), to the recurrence relation

$$(k + 2)(k + 2l + 3)C_{k+2} + (\lambda - 2\beta k)C_k + (\sigma - 2\alpha(k - 2))C_{k-2} = 0 \quad (9)$$

Since this recurrence relation connects the alternate coefficients  $C_{k-2}$ ,  $C_k$ , and  $C_{k+2}$ , the above-cited authors argued that this fact enables them to choose the coefficients  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  independently. First they choose  $C_1 = 0$  and  $C_0 \neq 0$ , by which  $\phi(r)$  are only even functions; as a second option they took  $C_0 = 0$  and  $C_1 \neq 0$ , giving rise to odd functions  $\phi(r)$ . As an example, they tabulate the six lowest lying eigenvalues and the conditions between the coefficients  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  necessary to reach them. This technique is useful if one had checked the existence of a solution of (5) for general  $l$  value of the type  $\phi(r) = C_0$  and  $\phi(r) = C_1 r$ . One can easily verify that for  $\phi(r) = C_0$  the following conditions have to be fulfilled:

$$\begin{cases} 2E = \beta(2l + 3) \\ -a + \beta^2 = \alpha(2l + 5) \\ b = 2\alpha\beta \\ c = \alpha^2 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Out of this one obtains the results already reported in Flessas and Das (1980), Kaushal (1989), Bose and Varma (1990), Singh *et al.* (1990), and Parui *et al.* (1994):

$$E = \frac{\beta}{2} (2l + 3)$$

with

$$b^2 = 4c[a + \sqrt{c}(2l + 5)] \quad (11)$$

We have chosen  $\alpha = +\sqrt{c}$  and  $\beta = b/(2\sqrt{c})$  in accordance with the discussion by Singh *et al.* (1990). For  $\phi(r) = C_1 r$  the following conditions have to be fulfilled:

$$\begin{cases} C_1(l + 1) = 0 \\ C_1(\beta(2l + 5) - 2E) = 0 \\ C_1(-a + \beta^2 - 2\beta(2l + 7)) = 0 \\ C_1(-b + 2\alpha\beta) = 0 \\ C_1(-c + \alpha^2) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

The first condition in (11) is essential: either  $C_1 = 0$ , by which no odd function  $\phi(r)$  which is a solution of (5) for all  $l$  can be constructed; or  $l = -1$  and the problem reduces to the well-known one-dimensional case. Omitting the first condition in (11) results in the second mentioned eigenvalue

and solvability condition given in Singh *et al.* (1990) and Parui *et al.* (1994), which are obviously erroneous. This means that no solutions of the type (4) with odd  $\phi(r)$  functions for (1) can exist.

In order to complete our results, we also give the eigenvalue and solvability conditions when  $\phi(r)$  is of degree two and four, since many of typographic errors are present in Singh *et al.* (1990) and in Parui *et al.* (1994). When  $\phi(r) = C_0 + C_2r^2$  one obtains

$$E = \frac{b}{2\sqrt{c}} \left\{ l + \frac{5}{2} \pm \left[ 1 + \frac{8c^{3/2}}{b^2} (2l + 3) \right]^{1/2} \right\}$$

with

$$b^2 = 4c(a + \sqrt{c}(2l + 9)) \tag{13}$$

and when  $\phi(r) = C_0 + C_2r^2 + C_4r^4$

$$E = \frac{b}{2\sqrt{c}} \left[ l + \frac{7}{2} + 4\sqrt{q} \cos\left(\frac{1}{3}\theta + 2\pi n\right) \right], \quad n = 0, 1, 2$$

with

$$q = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 1 + \frac{16c^{3/2}(l + 2)}{b^2} \right], \quad \cos(\theta) = \frac{4c^{3/2}}{b^2} \frac{1}{q^{3/2}} \tag{14}$$

The relations (11), (13), and (14) also give the eigenvalue expressions for the one-dimensional case for the even-parity situation ( $l = -1$ ) and the odd-parity one ( $l = 0$ ).

For potential (3) a quite analogous technique can be introduced. In a similar way it is also obvious that exact solutions of (1) of the type

$$\psi_l(r) = r^{l+1}\phi(r)\exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2}\right) \tag{15}$$

exist and that only even functions  $\phi(r)$  can be withheld.

When we choose  $\phi(r) = C_0$  the following conditions have to be fulfilled:

$$\begin{cases} C_0(2E - 2l - 3) = 0 \\ C_0(2Eg - 2gl - 3g - \lambda) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

This means that either  $C_0 = 0$  or  $2E = (2l + 3)$  together with  $\lambda = 0$ . None of these solutions are relevant.

For  $\phi(r) = C_0 + C_2r^2$  three equations occur:

$$\begin{cases} -3C_0 + 2C_0E - 2C_0l + 2C_2(2l + 3) = 0 \\ 2C_2E - 3C_0g + 6C_2g + 2C_0Eg \\ -C_2(2l + 7) - 2C_0gl - \lambda C_0 + 4C_2gl = 0 \\ -7C_2g + 2C_2Eg - 2C_2gl - \lambda C_2 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

There are two solutions, of which only one is relevant:

(i)  $C_2 = -2C_0/(2l + 3)$  together with  $\lambda = 0$ , bringing us back to the classical harmonic oscillator problem.

(ii)  $C_2 = C_0g$ , giving rise to

$$E = (1 - 2g)\left(l + \frac{3}{2}\right)$$

with

$$\lambda = -2g(2 + g(2l + 3)) \quad (18)$$

a result already mentioned in Znojil (1991b) and Roy *et al.* (1988). Notice that up to a normalization factor  $\phi(r)$  is proportional to  $(1 + gr^2)$ .

With  $\phi(r) = C_0 + C_2r^2 + C_4r^4$  the computation becomes quite complex. A set of four equations has to be solved:

$$\begin{cases} 2Eg - \lambda - 11g - 2gl = 0 \\ 2C_4E - \lambda C_2 - 7C_2g + 20C_2g + 2C_2Eg \\ - C_4(2l + 11) - 2C_2gl + 8C_4gl = 0 \\ 2C_2E - \lambda C_0 + 20C_4 - 3C_0g + 6C_2g + 2C_0Eg - C_2(2l + 7) \\ + 8C_4l - 2C_0gl + 4C_2gl = 0 \\ 2C_0E + 6C_2 - C_0(2l + 3) + 4C_2l = 0 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Solving these equations delivers three solutions for  $\lambda$ :

$$\lambda = 0$$

relating again the potential to the harmonic oscillator one, and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda = & \frac{1}{2}g\{- (12 + 26g + 12gl) \\ & \pm [(12 + 26g + 12gl)^2 \\ & - 4(32 + 160g + 120g^2 + 64gl + 128g^2l + 32g^2l^2)]^{1/2}\} \end{aligned}$$

giving rise to two eigenvalues

$$E = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{13g}{2} + l - 3gl \pm \frac{(4 - 4g + 49g^2 + 8gl + 28g^2l + 4g^2l^2)^{1/2}}{2} \quad (20)$$

Notice again that the one-dimensional eigenvalues (Flessas, 1981, 1982; Varma, 1981; Lai and Lin, 1982; Whitehead *et al.*, 1982) follow directly from the above expressions when replacing  $l$  either by  $-1$  or  $0$ .

The potentials (2) and (3) belong to more general classes of three-dimensional anharmonic potentials, for which eigenvalues in a closed form can be derived. It is mentioned by Flessas and Das (1980) that the potential

$$V(r) = \omega^2 r^2 + a_2 r^4 + a_3 r^6 + \dots + a_{2n+1} r^{2(2n+1)} \quad (21)$$

can have eigenfunctions of the general type

$$\psi(r)_l(r) = r^{l+1} \phi(r) \exp(-b_1 r^2 - b_2 r^4 - \dots - b_{n+1} r^{2(n+1)}) \quad (22)$$

provided  $n$  relations hold among the  $2n$  constants  $a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{2n+1}$ . Only the case for  $n = 2$  is worked out to a certain extent. Similarly Znojil (1991a) and Hislop *et al.* (1990) discussed potentials

$$V(r) = \omega r^2 + \frac{f(r)}{g(r)} \quad (23)$$

where  $f(r)$  and  $g(r)$  are polynomials in  $r^2$  of degree  $N$ , also called Padé anharmonic oscillators. Only a few very simple examples are touched upon by Znojil (1991a) and Hislop *et al.* (1990). In order to obtain closed expressions for eigenvalues many relations between the coefficients of the several powers in  $r$  in  $f(r)$  and  $g(r)$  have to be fulfilled.

## REFERENCES

- Amin, D. (1976). *Physics Today*, **35**, 35.  
 Amin, D. (1982). *Physical Review Letters*, **36**, 323.  
 Bose, S. K., and Varma, N. (1990). *Physics Letters*, **147A**, 85.  
 Coleman, S. (1988). *Aspects of Symmetry*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p. 234.  
 Flessas, G. P. (1981). *Physics Letters*, **83A**, 121.  
 Flessas, G. P. (1982). *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, **15**, L97.  
 Flessas, G. P., and Das, K. P. (1980). *Physics Letters*, **78A**, 19.  
 Haken, H. (1965). *Encyclopedia of Physics*, Vol. 25, 2C Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey.  
 Hislop, D., Wolfaardt, M. F., and Leach, P. G. (1990). *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, **23**, L1109.  
 Kaushal, R. S. (1989). *Physics Letters*, **142A**, 57.  
 Khare, A., and Behra, S. N. (1980). *Pramana Journal of Physics*, **14**, 327.

- Lai, C., and Lin, H. (1982). *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, **15**, 1495.
- Parui, B. K., Mazumbar, P. S., and Chowdhury, S. K. (1994). *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **33**, 613.
- Roy, B., Roychoudhury, R., and Roy, P. (1988). *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, **21**, 1579.
- Salam, A., and Strathdee, J. (1976). *Physical Review D*, **1**, 3296.
- Singh, C. A., Singh, S. B., and Singh, K. D. (1990). *Physics Letters*, **148A**, 389.
- Varma, V. (1981). *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, **14**, L489.
- Whitehead, R., Watt, A., Flessas, G., and Nagarajan, N. (1982). *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, **15**, 1217.
- Znojil, M. (1991a). *Czechoslovak Journal of Physics*, **41**, 397.
- Znojil, M. (1991b). *Czechoslovak Journal of Physics*, **41**, 497.